



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,200	05/24/2001	Sang-Ryul Park	678-658 (P9451)	3891
28249	7590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			CASCHERA, ANTONIO A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2676	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/865,200	PARK, SANG-RYUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Antonio A. Caschera	2676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 De	ecember 2005.				
,	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/865,200

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 12/16/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing

below:

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the pending application.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,200 Page 3

Art Unit: 2676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodenkamp et al. (U.S. Patent 5,243,447) in view of Mizuyabu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,297,832 B1).

In reference to claims 1 and 4, Bodenkamp et al. discloses prior art frame buffer systems comprising separate graphics and video frame buffers for storing graphics and video data respectively (see column 5, lines 6-10, 22-24 and #21, 22 of Figure 1). Bodenkamp et al. also discloses the graphics data being in the form of RGB type data while the video data in YUV format (see column 5, lines 11-12 and 24-26). Bodenkamp et al. discloses receiving the data from a memory bus connected to an enhanced display controller in his improvement to the prior art system (see column 6, lines 53-55 and #15 and 50 of Figure 4). Bodenkamp et al. also discloses the display controller to comprise of a conversion and dithering unit, which converts video YUV data to the preferred format of RGB (see column 6, lines 59-64 and column 7, lines 57-65). Bodenkamp et al. does not explicitly disclose a timing generator for generating a timing signal for alternatively obtaining access to the first and second memories and providing such a signal to the memories. Mizuyabu et al. discloses a method and apparatus for memory access scheduling in a video graphics system (see column 1, lines 6-8). Mizuyabu et al. discloses a video graphics circuit including a memory comprising of two different banks, a memory controller, a video display engine along with a graphics display engine (see column 3, lines 43Application/Control Number: 09/865,200

Art Unit: 2676

47 and Figure 1). Mizuyabu et al. discloses the memory controller comprising of a scheduler and a sequencer assessing and dealing with timing penalties associated with memory access and issuing the actual commands to access the actual data (see column 5, lines 3-12 and #22, 24 of Figure 1). Note, the Office interprets the memory controller of Mizuyabu functionally equivalent to the timing signal generator of Applicant's claims. Mizuyabu et al. further discloses a merging block which merges graphics and video data and passes the output to a display (see column 3, lines 49-67, #30, 40, 50 and 52 of Figure 1). Note, the Office interprets the combination of the memory controller and merging block of Mizuyabu functionally equivalent to the on-screen-display controller of Applicant's claims since these units in Mizuyabu: a) control the writing/reading of different types of memory to memory banks, b) operate upon graphics and video data and c) combine both graphics and video data for display. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the memory accessing and data merging techniques of Mizuyabu et al. with the graphical/video frame buffer techniques of Bodenkamp et al. in order to provide the important timing demands of graphical and real-time video display systems by avoiding memory access penalties as much as possible, creating a more efficient memory access for the system as a whole (see column 1, lines 29-32, 54-59 and columns 1-2, lines 66-2 of Mizuyabu et al.). Further note, the recitation "A color display driving apparatus in a portable mobile telephone" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao,

Application/Control Number: 09/865,200

Art Unit: 2676

535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

In reference to claim 2, Bodenkamp et al. and Mizuyabu et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1 above in addition, Bodenkamp et al. also discloses the conversion and dithering unit performing an interpolation of YUV data, to prepare for the YUV-RGB conversion (see column 8, lines 11-16).

In reference to claim 3, Bodenkamp et al. and Mizuyabu et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1 above. Bodenkamp et al. discloses the graphics data being in the form of RGB type data while the video data in YUV format (see column 5, lines 11-12 and 24-26). Mizuyabu et al. discloses a video graphics circuit including a memory comprising of two different banks, a memory controller, a video display engine along with a graphics display engine (see column 3, lines 43-47 and Figure 1). Mizuyabu et al. further discloses a merging block which merges graphics and video data and passes the output to a display (see column 3, lines 49-67, #30, 40, 50 and 52 of Figure 1).

In reference to claim 5, Bodenkamp et al. and Mizuyabu et al. disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1 above. Bodenkamp et al. discloses the graphics data being in the form of RGB type data while the video data in YUV format (see column 5, lines 11-12 and 24-26). Bodenkamp et al. also discloses the display controller to comprise of a conversion and dithering unit, which converts video YUV data to the preferred format of RGB (see column 6, lines 59-64 and column 7, lines 57-65). Mizuyabu et al. discloses the memory controller comprising of a scheduler and a sequencer assessing and dealing with timing penalties associated with memory access and issuing the actual commands to access the actual data (see column 5,

Application/Control Number: 09/865,200 Page 6

Art Unit: 2676

lines 3-12 and #22, 24 of Figure 1). Note, the Office interprets the memory controller of Mizuyabu et al. to inherently provide graphics and video data to the graphics display engine and video display engine in "latches" since Mizuyabu et al. discloses the memory being of SDRAM or synchronous dynamic random access memory type which utilizes a clock signal to output data as do the latches of Applicant's claims (see Figure 1 of Applicant's Drawings).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

References Cited

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Lumelsky et al. (U.S. Patent 5,220,312)
 - Lumelsky et al. discloses a pixel protection mechanism incorporating a dual buffer configuration, one holding graphics data the other video data.
 - b. Dwin et al. (U.S. Patent 5,517,612)
 - Dwin et al. discloses a real-time video scaling and graphical mixing device for workstation computers.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/865,200 Page 7

Art Unit: 2676

AM and 5:00 PM.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:30

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (571) 272-7691.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (Central Fax)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

aac

11/2/06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY COUTER 2600